



## ASTERICS - H2020 - 653477

# Data Format Survey

### ASTERICS GA DELIVERABLE: D3.3

|                      |                         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Document identifier: | ASTERICS-D3.3-final.pdf |
| Date:                | <b>03-05-2016</b>       |
| Work Package:        | <b>OBELICS – WP3</b>    |
| Lead Partner:        | <b>UCM</b>              |
| Document Status:     | <b>Final</b>            |
| Dissemination level: | <b>Public</b>           |
| Document Link:       |                         |

#### Abstract

ASTERICS will benefit ESFRI projects and other related major research infrastructures, including ESFRI-precursor experiments. This survey seeks synergies between these experiments with the aim of fostering the use of open data standards that would enable interoperability and software re-use.

## I. COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright © Members of the ASTERICS Collaboration, 2015. See [www.asterics2020.eu](http://www.asterics2020.eu) for details of the ASTERICS project and the collaboration. ASTERICS (Astronomy ESFRI & Research Infrastructure Cluster) is a project funded by the European Commission as a Research and Innovation Actions (RIA) within the H2020 Framework Programme. ASTERICS began in May 2015 and will run for 4 years.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, and USA. The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: “Copyright © Members of the ASTERICS Collaboration, 2015. See [www.asterics2020.eu](http://www.asterics2020.eu) for details of the ASTERICS project and the collaboration”. Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.

## II. DELIVERY SLIP

|             | Name   | Partner/WP                        | Date       |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Author(s)   | Jaime Rosado and José Luis Contreras             | UCM/WP3                           | 21/04/2016 |
| Amendments  | Tammo Jan Dijkema, Tamas Gal, Dominique Boutigny | ASTRON/WP3<br>FAU/WP3<br>CNRS/WP3 | 26/04/2016 |
| Reviewed by | Rob van der Meer                                 | ASTRON/WP1                        | 03/05/2016 |
| Approved by | Rob van der Meer                                 | ASTRON/WP1                        | 03/05/2016 |

## III. DOCUMENT LOG

| Issue | Date       | Comment          | Author/Partner |
|-------|------------|------------------|----------------|
| V0.5  | 01/04/2016 | Incomplete draft | J. Rosado/UCM  |
| V1.2  | 21/04/2016 | Complete draft   | J. Rosado/UCM  |
| V2.0  | 26/04/2016 | Revised draft    | J. Rosado/UCM  |
| Final | 03/05/2016 | Final            | J. Rosado/UCM  |

## IV. APPLICATION AREA

This document is a formal deliverable for the GA of the project, applicable to all members of the ASTERICS project, beneficiaries and third parties, as well as its collaborating projects.

## V. TERMINOLOGY

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| AMS      | Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer  |
| ANTARES  | Astronomy with a Neutrino Telescope and Abyss environmental RESearch |
| ASTERICS | Astronomy ESFRI & Research Infrastructure Cluster                    |
| CASA     | Common Astronomy Software Applications                               |
| CTA      | Cherenkov Telescope Array  |
| CTDS     | Casacore Table Data System   |
| DADI     | Data Access, Discovery and Interoperability                          |
| E-ELT    | European Extremely Large Telescope                                   |
| EGO      | European Gravitational Observatory                                   |
| ESFRI    | European Strategy Forum on Research Infrastructures                  |
| ESO      | European Southern Observatory  |
| e-VLBI   | Electronic Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry                         |
| EVN      | The European VLBI Network  |
| FITS     | Flexible Image Transport System                                      |
| GW       | Gravitational wave   |
| HAWC     | High-Altitude Water Cherenkov Observatory                            |
| HDF      | Hierarchical Data Format   |
| H.E.S.S. | The High Energy Stereoscopic System                                  |
| IACT     | Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope                              |
| IGWD     | Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detector                          |
| JIVE     | Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe                                   |
| KM3NeT   | Cubic Kilometre Neutrino Telescope                                   |
| LIGO     | Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory                  |

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| LOFAR   | The Low Frequency Array                                |
| LSST    | The Large Synoptic Survey Telescope                    |
| MAGIC   | Major Atmospheric Gamma-Ray Imaging Cherenkov          |
| OBELICS | OBservatory E-environments Llnked by common ChallengeS |
| SKA     | The Square Kilometre Array                             |
| UCM     | Universidad Complutense de Madrid                      |
| VO      | Virtual Observatory                                    |

A complete project glossary is provided at the following page:

<https://www.asterics2020.eu/glossary>

## VI. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ASTERICS is intended to give services to research infrastructures identified in the ESFRI Roadmap (CTA, KM3NeT, SKA and E-ELT) as well as other major international projects, including precursor experiments, in the area astronomy, astrophysics and astroparticle physics. ASTERICS looks towards enabling interoperability between them, encouraging cross-fertilisation and developing joint multi-wavelength/multi-messenger capabilities. To do that, one of the primary targets is to seek commonalities in data handling, data storage, etc. between those projects. This initiative also goes in the direction of the global movement for open science data.

Within ASTERICS, the work package OBELICS aims to enable interoperability and software re-use for the data generation, integration and analysis of the ASTERICS ESFRI and pathfinder facilities. Therefore, one of its main priorities is to establish open standards and software libraries for multi-wavelength and multi-messenger data. This document is a deliverable from the work package OBELICS and has the objective of seeking synergies between experiments that could enable the use of appropriate common data formats. For this purpose, experiments have been grouped into three different categories according to the type of data that they produce: image-based, event-based and signal-based experiments.

As a result of this survey, two possibilities are identified, mainly for event-based experiments: defining standards for low-level data and pushing for common high-level data formats. For the second one, the UCM-ASTERICS group has proposed extending a recent initiative for common data formats within the gamma-ray community to encompass neutrino and cosmic-ray observatories as well.

## Table of contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| I. COPYRIGHT NOTICE .....                       | 1  |
| II. DELIVERY SLIP.....                          | 1  |
| III. DOCUMENT LOG .....                         | 1  |
| IV. APPLICATION AREA .....                      | 2  |
| V. TERMINOLOGY .....                            | 2  |
| VI. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....                     | 3  |
| Table of contents.....                          | 4  |
| 1. Introduction .....                           | 5  |
| 2. ESFRI projects and related pathfinders ..... | 5  |
| 3. Types of data produced by experiments .....  | 6  |
| 4. Image-based experiments .....                | 6  |
| 5. Event-based experiments .....                | 7  |
| 6. Signal-based experiments.....                | 10 |
| 7. Possible synergies .....                     | 11 |
| 8. Conclusions .....                            | 12 |
| References.....                                 | 13 |

## 1. Introduction

ASTERICS will benefit ESFRI projects and other related major research infrastructures, including ESFRI-precursor experiments. This survey seeks synergies between these experiments with the aim of fostering the use of open data standards that would enable interoperability and software re-use.

The projects included in this survey are identified in [section 2](#). In [section 3](#), the experiments are grouped in three categories according to the type of data that they produce. [Sections 4 to 6](#) describe the data formats that are in use or envisaged for the experiments belonging to each group. The possible synergies between experiments are discussed in [section 7](#). Conclusions are given in [section 8](#).

## 2. ESFRI projects and related pathfinders

This data format survey encompasses 13 projects identified as ASTERICS stakeholders. They include ESFRI projects, their pathfinders and other linked projects, most of them being ASTERICS-OBELICS partners. The table shows the field of application of each experiment and the type of data produced as categorized in [section 3](#).

| Project  | ESFRI                 | Field   | Type of data |
|----------|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| CTA      | Yes                   | Cherenkov observatories for gamma-ray astronomy   | Events       |
| H.E.S.S. | Pathfinder for CTA    | Cherenkov telescope array for gamma-ray astronomy | Events       |
| MAGIC    | Pathfinder for CTA    | Cherenkov telescope array for gamma-ray astronomy | Events       |
| KM3NeT   | Yes                   | Neutrino telescope                                | Events       |
| IceCube  | Pathfinder for KM3NeT | Neutrino telescope                                | Events       |
| ANTARES  | Pathfinder for KM3NeT | Neutrino telescope                                | Events       |
| E-ELT    | Yes                   | Ground-based optical/near-infrared telescope      | Images       |
| LSST     | No                    | Optical telescope                                 | Images       |
| EUCLID   | No                    | Satellite mission to map the dark Universe        | Images       |
| SKA      | Yes                   | Radio telescope arrays                            | Signals      |
| e-EVN    | Pathfinder for SKA    | e-VLBI network for radio astronomy                | Signals      |
| LOFAR    | Pathfinder for SKA    | Radio interferometric array                       | Signals      |

|                |    |                              |         |
|----------------|----|------------------------------|---------|
| Advanced LIGO  | No | Gravitational wave detectors | Signals |
| Advanced Virgo | No | Gravitational wave detector  | Signals |

*Table 1: Projects included in this data format survey. Experiments are classified according to the type of data that they produce.*

### 3. Types of data produced by experiments

In this survey, experiments are classified according to the type of data that they produce. Although final science products are similar for all the astro(particle)physics experiments (e.g., skymaps, catalogues, spectra), raw and processed data below the science data level have fundamental differences depending on the experimental technique. In this way, imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACT) for gamma-ray astronomy differ fundamentally from visible-light astronomical ones in the fact the formers detect particles one by one, the so-called “events”, whereas optical telescopes collect a large number of photons to form images. Moreover, both detection techniques are very different to those employed by radio interferometers or by gravitational wave detectors, which record signals in the time (or frequency) domain to be subsequently processed. On this basis, three groups of experiments are identified: image-based, event-based and signal-based experiments.

The above types of data have different requirements for formatting and processing. This also applies to a significant part of the metadata needed to find the stored data and to link them to calibration and other auxiliary instrument data. Synergies between experiments producing similar type of data are more natural and easier to identify. Therefore, software reuse and common standards are expected to be attained to much more extent in experiments belonging to the same group. In the next three sections, these groups of experiments are reviewed and their corresponding data formats (both in use and envisaged) are discussed.

It should be noted, however, that these groups are not completely bounded and often overlap each other. This leaves open the possibility to look for common solutions for a wider range of experiments.

### 4. Image-based experiments

Optical/near-infrared telescopes essentially produce images. The three projects included in [table 1](#) that belong to this group are E-ELT [\[1\]](#), LSST [\[2\]](#) and Euclid [\[3\]](#), which are under construction or in the design phase. E-ELT and LSST are ground-based telescopes, whereas Euclid is a satellite mission.

E-ELT and LSST will stream large amounts of data that will be processed in real time. In particular, the LSST Data Management System will process the incoming stream within 60 seconds of observation, where the daily raw data volume is approximately 15 TB and millions of alerts will be generated every night [4]. As for E-ELT, one of the requirements is that the raw scientific data, including calibrations, shall arrive at the ESO Science Archive Facility not later than 1 h after they have been acquired [5].

The Euclid mission will deliver a lower data rate but still unprecedented for a space mission: about 110 GB of compressed data per day, where the daily telecommanding and communications period will be 4 hours [3, 6].

Processed data and science-ready data products from these experiments will be archived and made available to users with access rights. The LSST Data Management System will reprocess annually the accumulated survey data to form a static, self-consistent data release that includes raw images. The contents of the last data release alone are expected to be around 70 PB, with the final catalogue database being 15 PB [4, 7]. In the case of E-ELT, raw data, including calibrations, will be made available to the worldwide community at the end of a proprietary period [5].

Public data must be in a standard format. The most used file format in astronomy is FITS, which is the acronym for Flexible Image Transport System [8, 9]. FITS is an open standard and a component of the data formats for the Virtual Observatory (VO), which is a worldwide initiative to standardise astronomical datasets and resources so that users may access data from any observatory in a seamless and transparent way [10]. The above experiments will provide data in formats compliant with Virtual Observatory standards, e.g., FITS images and tables in VOTable format [11].

In addition, these experiments will also generate alerts of transient events with the implication that timely follow-up is of interest. For this purpose, the VOEvent format [12] is broadly accepted and used by the astronomical community within the VO framework.

## 5. Event-based experiments

Event data is produced by IACT gamma-ray observatories (i.e., CTA [13], H.E.S.S. [14] and MAGIC [15]) as well as by neutrino observatories (i.e., KM3NeT [16], IceCube [17] and ANTARES [18]). Although not included in [table 1](#), large-aperture gamma-ray observatories (e.g., HAWC [19] and Fermi [20]) and cosmic-ray experiments (e.g., AMS-02 [21] and the Pierre Auger Observatory [22]) also belong to this group. In this context, “event data” refers to all the recorded data associated to a given cosmic particle detected by the observatory.

IACT gamma-ray observatories consist of an arrangement of several telescopes, where each telescope camera has hundreds or thousands of pixels. Raw data include the time series of the charge registered by every pixel of the triggered telescope(s) for the event as well as all



the calibration, atmospheric monitoring and ancillary data needed to “build” the event. For a typical trigger rate of several kHz per telescope, this involves an enormously high data rate. Whereas H.E.S.S. and MAGIC have few Cherenkov telescopes, CTA will operate two arrays with tens of telescopes each, which translates into a raw data rate of around 10 GB/s [23]. IACT observatories only operate in dark nights and therefore the duty cycle is reduced to 10% approximately. However, the storage requirements are very high, e.g., around 30 PB/year for CTA.

The storage unit of IACT raw data is usually linked to the so-called “runs” characterized by a given pointing direction and to short time intervals during which the observation conditions can be assumed to be constant. Other feature of the IACT raw data is that they are organized hierarchically according to the operational elements of the observatory (e.g., pixel, telescope and sub-array). In addition, several data levels can be defined corresponding to successive steps of the processing chain with increasing generality: pixel-wise raw data, telescope-wise calibrated data, events reconstructed using stereoscopic information from the entire array, and binned data products combining many events (see, e.g., [23]).

Neutrino observatories comprise thousands of optical sensors attached to vertical “strings” arranged over a large volume. Similarly to IACT observatories, event data include all the time-amplitude information registered by the optical sensors as well as calibration and other auxiliary data. On the other hand, neutrino observatories operate in continuous mode and have a much larger aperture, which results in a huge raw data volume. All these event data are combined together to derive the energy and direction of the neutrino. The data acquisition systems of ANTARES and KM3NeT, both deployed in the deep waters of the Mediterranean Sea, are based on the “all-data-to-shore” concept, meaning that all signals that pass a certain threshold are sent to shore for real-time processing [24]. In the case of KM3NeT, the infrastructure has a modular design made up by so-called “building blocks”, each one constituting a 3-dimensional array of photo sensors producing a total data rate of 3 GB/s [25]. The second phase of KM3NeT is planned to have 3 building blocks, and the full telescope will have 7. In IceCube, which is located at the South Pole, a first level of reconstruction and event filtering is done in near real time so that data can be transferred over a satellite link to the data centres located in the Northern Hemisphere [17, 26].

Data from neutrino experiments are organized hierarchically and have several levels of complexity in a similar way to IACT ones. In general, data produced by event-based observatories share these features whichever the type of particle that they detect.

The raw data rates of the above experiments are listed in [table 2](#). Succinct information on the formats used for low and mid level data is also given in the table. Custom data formats are commonly used for low-level data, since they depend on the particularities of each experiment. The analysis software of most current event-based experiments is developed in the ROOT framework [27], which was originally designed for particle physics data analysis in CERN projects. Hence, ROOT-based data formats are used in the processing chain of H.E.S.S. [28], MAGIC [29] and ANTARES [24]. The archival and data exchange system of IceCube

creates a metadata XML file for each data file following a specification called “DIF Plus” [17] compliant with the format required by the Office of Polar Programs for all experiments funded by the National Science Foundation. Then, data are processed in the data centers using a custom analysis framework.

| Observatory | Raw data rate                           | Low and mid level data format   |
|-------------|---|---|
| CTA         | 10 GB/s                                 | To be determined  |
| H.E.S.S.    | 46 MB/s                                 | Based on ROOT   |
| MAGIC       | 100 MB/s                                | Raw data stored in binary form and translated to ROOT format at preprocessing level |
| KM3NeT      | 9 GB/s (phase 2)                        | To be determined  |
| IceCube     | 12 MB/s (total)<br>1.2 MB/s (satellite) | Custom format and analysis software   |
| ANTARES     | 0.3 – 10 GB/s                           | Based on ROOT   |

*Table 2: Data rates and formats used by the event-based experiments included in this report.*

Following the path defined by the Fermi experiment [30], efforts are being made within the gamma-ray community to define unified standards for higher level data ready for distribution [31, 32]. The proposed standards are based on the widespread FITS file format, which is not only used for images, but also for a large variety of scientific data. In particular, the CTA data level 3 (DL3) is identified as the lowest high-level data to be delivered to basic users. It will consist of FITS files containing lists of well reconstructed gamma-like events along with associated instrumental response characterizations and any necessary technical data for science analysis [23]. DL3 is the first data level being nearly independent of the particularities of the detector and thus the most suitable for science analysis.

In addition, current IACT observatories are integrating themselves into the VO framework and already making available their final science products and some legacy observatory data (e.g., light curves, spectra and source catalogues) in formats compliant with VO tools [33, 34]. The final goal is to allow scientists to do multi-messenger astronomical studies by combining data from different facilities in a single analysis. In fact, CTA is intended to work as a “standard”, fully VO compliant astronomical observatory.

Neutrino observatories are also making their high-level data available. ANTARES has made public an ASCII table containing the data set for its 2007-2010 search for cosmic neutrino point sources [35]. The IceCube collaboration has also built a web page from which several data sets associated to journal papers can be downloaded [36], where event lists and most auxiliary data (e.g., background distributions) are provided in ASCII tables, whereas some other data are in HDF5 format [37]. Remarkably, IceCube also provides lower level data (i.e., calibrated data without reconstructions) in an open format based on python along with appropriate analysis tools [38]. Both ANTARES and IceCube (and later KM3NeT) participate in multi-messenger networks by sending alert messages in VOEvent format, as will be discussed in [section 7](#).

## 6. Signal-based experiments

In radio interferometric arrays, signals from all the individual telescopes are brought together and processed by the so-called correlator, which combines the signals to form an image of the observed radio source. Among the projects listed in [table 1](#), SKA [\[39\]](#), EVN [\[40\]](#) and LOFAR [\[41\]](#) belong to this category.

The European VLBI Network (EVN) comprises 21 very large and sensitive reflector telescopes (dishes) spread throughout Europe and beyond. In addition to “traditional” VLBI sessions in which the data are first recorded at the telescopes on tapes or discs and then physically delivered to the processor, the EVN consortium is conducting a development programme called e-EVN, which aims at creating an e-VLBI network where data is transferred and processed in real time. All data correlated at the EVN Data Processor at JIVE (raw FITS format data, processed images, calibration tables, etc.) are placed into the EVN archive and made public once the 12-month proprietary period has expired [\[40\]](#).

The LOFAR array, on the other hand, consists of about 7000 simple omni-directional antennas organised in stations containing local computing resources to perform beam-forming [\[42\]](#). All these beam-formed data (about 19 GB/s for the entire array) are sent via a high-speed fibre network to the central processing facility, where they are pre-processed on-line. In interferometric imaging mode, pre-processed data are stored in the MeasurementSet format based on the Casacore Table Data System (CTDS) [\[43\]](#), which is part of the CASA package for radio-astronomical data processing [\[44\]](#). On the other hand, the HDF5 format is used for pulsar processing. Then, several off-line processing steps are performed to obtain either images or pulsar data products in PRESTO format [\[45\]](#). The final scientific data are transferred to the LOFAR long-term archive for cataloguing and distribution to the community [\[46\]](#). This archive is growing at 6 PB per year and the data rate can even increase if the storage and compute capacity allows.

The above two projects are recognised as pathfinders for SKA, which will operate both an array of dishes and an array of antennas grouped into stations. The SKA project is designed in two phases and, when both are complete, the observatory will consist of many thousands of connected radio telescopes. For the first phase, the summed data rate is estimated to be about 3 TB/s and the expected archive data volume is 100 PB per year [\[47\]](#). The SKA project will profit from the lessons learned on data management in existing radio interferometric arrays. The file formats to be used to store data are still to be defined. Radio interferometric arrays are also integrating into the VO network and SKA data will be delivered in formats compliant with VO standards (see, e.g., [\[48\]](#)).

The two Advanced LIGO detectors in the USA [\[49\]](#) and the Advanced Virgo detector in Italy [\[50\]](#) included in [table 1](#) are the first ones of a network of very sensitive interferometric detectors of gravitational waves (GW) working together. These detectors also produce signal-based data, but they are very different to those generated by radio interferometric

arrays. The data produced by these experiments are stored in “frames” with thousands of channels, where the GW strain channel only represents a small fraction of data and all the other channels are used for auxiliary instrumental and environmental monitoring. Each interferometer has a data rate of a few tens of MB/s [51, 52].

A standard data format, called IGWD Frame format, was already established by a LIGO-Virgo agreement in 1997 [53]. Both projects will make data publicly available in IGWD Frame format as well as in other standard formats easier to use for most open data users (e.g., HDF5). In addition, they plan to participate in the VOEvent network by communicating real-time alerts to follow-up observers.

## 7. Possible synergies

One of the main goals of ASTERICS is to foster common standards and software re-use between ESFRI and other relevant research infrastructures. This is more attainable for the highest-level data, since they do not rely on the detection technique. Prominently, the VO framework allows the wide scientific community to access astronomical data from different facilities in a single transparent system. Originally formed by optical observatories, the VO network now joins a growing and diverse list of experiments with the purpose of enabling multi-wavelength and multi-messenger science. Especially, science-ready data products from gamma-ray observatories are already being made accessible from VO tools.

Other astroparticle observatories are less integrated into the VO network, although they are developing systems to communicate real-time alerts to follow-up observers using the VOEvent protocol within the VO framework. In particular, the Astrophysical Multi-messenger Observatory Network (AMON) seeks to perform a real-time correlation analysis of the high-energy signals across all known astronomical messengers, i.e., photons, neutrinos, cosmic rays and gravitational waves [54].

For gamma-ray observatories, the first high level data not depending on the particularities of the experiment contains lists of selected gamma-like reconstructed events as well as the instrument response functions and other relevant data for science analysis. In CTA, this data level is known as DL3 and basic users will have access to it in a similar way to how Fermi data is distributed [30]. A new initiative has been created to describe data formats that are in use for this data level in the gamma-ray community and to define a unified standard based on FITS to be adopted by current and future experiments, chiefly IACT observatories [31].

It can easily be seen that the above unified format for gamma-ray astronomy might also be used for DL3-equivalent data produced by other event-based astroparticle detectors, as neutrino and cosmic-ray observatories. Note that event lists basically contain information on the energy and direction of the particle, and therefore, it would only be required to add information about the nature of the particle. The effective area (or alternatively the

exposure) and any other relevant high-level technical data should be linked to time periods short enough to neglect instrument response variations, regardless of the observation mode (e.g., source pointing runs or wide-aperture continuous observation). The UCM-ASTERICS group has brought this proposal to a recent meeting to work on this DL3 data format [55] and it is presently under study.

For lower level data, synergies between experiments are most likely limited to those belonging to the same category. For example, standard data formats and analysis software exist in radio interferometry. Interestingly, the GW community has already established a common data format and interoperability between the several detectors of the GW network is ensured. Most current event-based experiments use ROOT-based data formats and analysis tools, but no standards have been defined yet. Nevertheless, some specifications could be established for the hierarchical structure of data produced by event-based experiments. In this way, operational elements like “telescope” or “optical module” can be seen as instances of hierarchical levels of a more generic class structure. As an alternative to existing ROOT-based formats, HDF5 would be suitable for this kind of data and available HDF5 tools (e.g., viewers) would be of great help.

## 8. Conclusions

More and more experiments are making their science-ready data products publicly available in formats compliant with the VO standards. On the other hand, although big steps have been made toward multi-messenger astronomy, progresses are still to be achieved to enhance the integration of astroparticle observatories into the VO network. Within ASTERICS, the work package DADI is contributing to the development and implementation of the VO framework by organising technology forums and training events [56].

A unified standard based on the FITS file format is currently being developed for the so-called DL3 data in the gamma-ray community. The UCM-ASTERICS group has proposed to extend this standard to other event-based experiments (i.e., neutrino and cosmic-ray observatories) and potentially to gravitational wave detectors too. This proposal will be explored trying to involve staff of these experiments. The data distribution in open formats would bring returns to the experiments, e.g., through a larger impact of its results, externally developed software and cross-check analyses.

The use of common formats for low-level data is less clear, since this data depends on the particularities of each experiment. Nevertheless, possible synergies between experiments producing similar type of data are found. In particular, low and mid-level data generated by all event-based experiments have a hierarchical structure and therefore hierarchical formats as HDF5 are suitable for them. The UCM-ASTERICS group plans to propose and test a HDF5 format for raw data of CTA.

## References

- [1] <https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/eelt/>
- [2] <http://www.lsst.org/>
- [3] <http://www.euclid-ec.org/>
- [4] M. Jurić et al., The LSST Data Management System. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07914>
- [5] E-ELT Programme – Observatory Top Level Requirements. Available at <https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/eelt/>
- [6] Euclid Definition Study Report. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3193>
- [7] M. Jurić et al., The LSST Data Products Definition Document. Available at <https://docushare.lsstcorp.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/LSE-163/>
- [8] [http://fits.gsfc.nasa.gov/fits\\_home.html](http://fits.gsfc.nasa.gov/fits_home.html)
- [9] W.D. Pence et al., Definition of the Flexible Image Transport System (FITS), version 3.0, A&A 524 (2010) A42. Available at <http://www.aanda.org/articles/aa/abs/2010/16/aa15362-10/aa15362-10.html>
- [10] <http://www.ivoa.net/>
- [11] VOTable Format Definition. Available at <http://www.ivoa.net/Documents/latest/VOT.html>
- [12] VOEvent: Sky Event Reporting Metadata. Available at <http://www.ivoa.net/documents/VOEvent/>
- [13] <https://www.cta-observatory.org/>
- [14] <https://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/hfm/HESS/>
- [15] <https://magic.mpp.mpg.de/>
- [16] <http://www.km3net.org/home.php>
- [17] <https://icecube.wisc.edu/>
- [18] <http://antares.in2p3.fr/>
- [19] [www.hawc-observatory.org/](http://www.hawc-observatory.org/)

- [20] <http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/>
- [21] <http://www.ams02.org/>
- [22] <https://www.auger.org/>
- [23] G. Lamanna et al., Cherenkov Telescope Data Management, PoS (ICRC2015) 947. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.01012>
- [24] J.A. Aguilar et al., The data acquisition system for the ANTARES neutrino telescope, NIM A 570 (2007) 107. Available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900206017608>
- [25] Letter of Intent for KM3NeT2.0. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07459>
- [26] R. Abbasi et al., The IceCube data acquisition system: Signal capture, digitization, and timestamping, NIM A 601 (2009) 294. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900209000084>
- [27] <https://root.cern.ch/>
- [28] A. Balzer et al., The H.E.S.S. central data acquisition system, Astropart. Phys. 54 (2015) 67. Available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927650513001655>
- [29] J. Aleksić, Optimized Dark Matter Searches in Deep Observations of Segue 1 with MAGIC, PhD Thesis (2013). Available at <https://magicold.mpp.mpg.de/publications/theses/JAleksic1.pdf>
- [30] <http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/>
- [31] <http://gamma-astro-data-formats.readthedocs.org/en/latest/>
- [32] <https://www-zeuthen.desy.de/multi-messenger/GammaRayData/>
- [33] <http://magic.pic.es/> (see section “Public area”).
- [34] S. Derrière et al., Using the Virtual Observatory: multi-instrument, multi-wavelength study of high-energy sources. Journées de la SF2A 2014. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6514>
- [35] <http://antares.in2p3.fr/publicdata.html>
- [36] <https://icecube.wisc.edu/science/data>
- [37] <https://www.hdfgroup.org/HDF5/>
- [38] <http://icecube.umd.edu/PublicData/>

- [39] <https://www.skatelescope.org/>
- [40] <http://www.evlbi.org/>
- [41] <http://www.lofar.org/>
- [42] M.P. van Haarlem et al., LOFAR: The LOW-Frequency ARray, A&A 556 (2013) A2. Available at <http://www.aanda.org/component/content/article?id=950>
- [43] G.N.J. van Diepen, Casacore Table Data System and its use in the MeasurementSet, A&C 12 (2015) 174. Available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213133715000621>
- [44] <https://casa.nrao.edu/>
- [45] <https://prestodb.io/>
- [46] <http://www.astron.nl/radio-observatory/astronomers/technical-information/lofar-technical-information>
- [47] SKA1 System Baseline v2. Available at <https://www.skatelescope.org/key-documents/>
- [48] <http://amiga.iaa.es/>
- [49] <http://www.ligo.org/index.php>
- [50] <http://www.virgo-gw.eu/>
- [51] LIGO Data Management Plant. Available at <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-M1000066/public>
- [52] F. Acernese et al., Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector, Class. Quantum Grav. 32 (2015) 024001. Available at <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001/meta;jsessionid=E8990E15AD096C8D651D69A653E5A8AA.c4.iopscience.cld.iop.org>
- [53] Specification of a common data frame format for interferometric gravitational wave detectors. Available at <http://dcc.ligo.org/cgi-bin/DocDB/RetrieveFile?docid=329>
- [54] <http://amon.gravity.psu.edu/index.shtml>
- [55] [https://github.com/open-gamma-ray-astro/2016-04\\_IACT\\_DL3\\_Meeting/blob/master/README.md](https://github.com/open-gamma-ray-astro/2016-04_IACT_DL3_Meeting/blob/master/README.md)
- [56] <https://www.asterics2020.eu/dokuwiki/doku.php?id=open:wp4:start>